

Quantum Information Processing Assignment 3

Name: Nate Stemen (20906566)
Email: nate.stemen@uwaterloo.ca

Due: Thur, Oct 1, 2020 11:59 PM
Course: QIC 710

I worked with Chelsea Komlo and Wilson Wu on this assignment.

Problem 1

Measuring the control qubit of a CNOT gate.

Solution. Lets start with the most general 2 qubit state.

$$\alpha_{00} |00\rangle + \alpha_{01} |01\rangle + \alpha_{10} |10\rangle + \alpha_{11} |11\rangle \quad (1)$$

Starting with the gate on the left, we first have to make a partial measurement of the first qubit. This collapses the state into one of two possibilities.

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{First qubit state} \\ \text{State after measurement} \end{array} \quad \frac{1}{n} |0\rangle \otimes \underbrace{(\alpha_{00} |0\rangle + \alpha_{01} |1\rangle)}_{\phi_0} \quad \Bigg| \quad \frac{1}{n'} |1\rangle \otimes \underbrace{(\alpha_{10} |0\rangle + \alpha_{11} |1\rangle)}_{\phi_1}$$

Where $n = |\alpha_{00}|^2 + |\alpha_{01}|^2$ and similarly with n' as factors for normalization. We can now feed these two states into a controlled U gate to see what comes out.

Measured $ 0\rangle$	Measured $ 1\rangle$
$\frac{1}{n} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & U \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{n} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{n'} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & U \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \phi_1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{n'} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ U\phi_1 \end{bmatrix}$

Now we can switch our attention to the circuit on the right hand side. First we have to apply a controlled U to our general state defined in eq. (1).

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & U \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{00} \\ \alpha_{01} \\ \alpha_{10} \\ \alpha_{11} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{00} \\ \alpha_{01} \\ u_{11}\alpha_{10} + u_{12}\alpha_{11} \\ u_{21}\alpha_{10} + u_{22}\alpha_{11} \end{bmatrix}$$

Now with this state we must make a partial measurement of the first qubit. As before we will only measure $|0\rangle$ or $|1\rangle$ so we have two branches.

Measure $ 0\rangle$	Measure $ 1\rangle$
$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{00} \\ \alpha_{01} \\ u_{11}\alpha_{10} + u_{12}\alpha_{11} \\ u_{21}\alpha_{10} + u_{22}\alpha_{11} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{00} \\ \alpha_{01} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{00} \\ \alpha_{01} \\ u_{11}\alpha_{10} + u_{12}\alpha_{11} \\ u_{21}\alpha_{10} + u_{22}\alpha_{11} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{n'} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ u_{11}\alpha_{10} + u_{12}\alpha_{11} \\ u_{21}\alpha_{10} + u_{22}\alpha_{11} \end{bmatrix}$

We now have the four states we need to conclude whether or not these circuits are the same. Measuring 0 in the first circuit led us to a state we called $\frac{1}{n} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ which is shorthand for $\frac{1}{n} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{00} \\ \alpha_{01} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. This is exactly what we got when first applying the controlled U and then making the measurement so that works out.

The case for measuring 1 then applying the controlled U gate got us $\frac{1}{n'} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ U\phi_1 \end{bmatrix}$ which again was shorthand for $\frac{1}{n'} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ u_{11}\alpha_{10} + u_{12}\alpha_{11} \\ u_{21}\alpha_{10} + u_{22}\alpha_{11} \end{bmatrix}$. This is what we got for the first circuit. At

first I thought there might be a different normalization constant that needed to be added instead of just n' , but because unitary operators are isometries (distance preserving maps), the length of the vector remains unchanged and hence still normalized.

Problem 2

Unitary between two triples of states with same inner products.

Solution. First assume the sets $\{\phi_i\}$ and $\{\psi_i\}$ are both linearly independent sets of vectors when considered on their own. Let us apply Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization to $\{\phi_i\}$ to obtain $\{\tilde{\phi}_i\}$ and similarly with $\{\psi_i\}$ to obtain $\{\tilde{\psi}_i\}$. We note that we now have two orthonormal bases for \mathbb{C}^3 , and we know basis transformations are unitary maps. This means we can find a U such that $U|\tilde{\phi}_i\rangle = |\tilde{\psi}_i\rangle$.

Now we need to show $U|\phi_i\rangle = |\psi_i\rangle$. To do this lets look at how the $\{\tilde{\phi}_i\}$ were created.

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\phi}_0\rangle &= |\phi_0\rangle & |\tilde{\psi}_0\rangle &= |\psi_0\rangle \\ |\tilde{\phi}_1\rangle &= |\phi_1\rangle - \frac{\langle\phi_1|\phi_0\rangle}{\langle\phi_0|\phi_0\rangle}|\phi_0\rangle & |\tilde{\psi}_1\rangle &= |\psi_1\rangle - \frac{\langle\psi_1|\psi_0\rangle}{\langle\psi_0|\psi_0\rangle}|\psi_0\rangle \\ |\tilde{\phi}_2\rangle &= |\phi_2\rangle - \frac{\langle\phi_2|\tilde{\phi}_0\rangle}{\langle\tilde{\phi}_0|\tilde{\phi}_0\rangle}|\tilde{\phi}_0\rangle - \frac{\langle\phi_2|\tilde{\phi}_1\rangle}{\langle\tilde{\phi}_1|\tilde{\phi}_1\rangle}|\tilde{\phi}_1\rangle & |\tilde{\psi}_2\rangle &= |\psi_2\rangle - \frac{\langle\psi_2|\tilde{\psi}_0\rangle}{\langle\tilde{\psi}_0|\tilde{\psi}_0\rangle}|\tilde{\psi}_0\rangle - \frac{\langle\psi_2|\tilde{\psi}_1\rangle}{\langle\tilde{\psi}_1|\tilde{\psi}_1\rangle}|\tilde{\psi}_1\rangle \end{aligned}$$

It clear that $U|\tilde{\phi}_0\rangle = |\tilde{\psi}_0\rangle$ implies $U|\phi_0\rangle = |\psi_0\rangle$. Now let's apply U to $|\tilde{\phi}_1\rangle$.

$$\begin{aligned} U|\tilde{\phi}_1\rangle &= U|\phi_1\rangle - \langle\phi_1|\phi_0\rangle U|\phi_0\rangle \\ &= U|\phi_1\rangle - \langle\psi_1|\psi_0\rangle |\psi_0\rangle && \text{(bc inner prods equal, and } U|\phi_0\rangle = |\psi_0\rangle\text{.)} \\ &= |\psi_1\rangle - \langle\psi_1|\psi_0\rangle |\psi_0\rangle && \text{(RHS of } U|\tilde{\phi}_1\rangle = |\tilde{\psi}_1\rangle\text{.)} \end{aligned}$$

Where the last two lines show us $U|\phi_1\rangle = U|\psi_1\rangle$ as desired. A similar computation can be done with the third vector.

$$\begin{aligned} U|\tilde{\phi}_2\rangle &= U|\phi_2\rangle - \frac{\langle\phi_2|\tilde{\phi}_0\rangle}{\langle\tilde{\phi}_0|\tilde{\phi}_0\rangle}U|\tilde{\phi}_0\rangle - \frac{\langle\phi_2|\tilde{\phi}_1\rangle}{\langle\tilde{\phi}_1|\tilde{\phi}_1\rangle}U|\tilde{\phi}_1\rangle \\ &= U|\phi_2\rangle - \frac{\langle\phi_2|\tilde{\phi}_0\rangle}{\langle\tilde{\phi}_0|\tilde{\phi}_0\rangle}|\tilde{\psi}_0\rangle - \frac{\langle\phi_2|\tilde{\phi}_1\rangle}{\langle\tilde{\phi}_1|\tilde{\phi}_1\rangle}|\tilde{\psi}_1\rangle \\ &= |\psi_2\rangle - \frac{\langle\psi_2|\tilde{\psi}_0\rangle}{\langle\tilde{\psi}_0|\tilde{\psi}_0\rangle}|\tilde{\psi}_0\rangle - \frac{\langle\psi_2|\tilde{\psi}_1\rangle}{\langle\tilde{\psi}_1|\tilde{\psi}_1\rangle}|\tilde{\psi}_1\rangle \end{aligned}$$

So as long as we can show the coefficients for the $|\tilde{\psi}_i\rangle$ terms are the same, we can conclude $U|\phi_2\rangle = |\psi_2\rangle$. The $|\tilde{\psi}_0\rangle$ is obviously the same because one can drop the tildes and use the fact that the vectors are normalized, and the inner products are pairwise equal. For the $|\tilde{\psi}_1\rangle$ term we need to compute some stuff.

$$\langle\phi_2|\tilde{\phi}_1\rangle = \bar{\alpha} - \bar{\alpha}^2$$

Here we've used $\alpha = \langle\phi_0|\phi_1\rangle$ and the fact that the inner product is conjugated when flipped. It's important to note here that this calculation is exactly the same for $\langle\psi_2|\tilde{\psi}_1\rangle$ because the inner products are equal (i.e. the indices match). The same logic and computation mean $\langle\tilde{\phi}_1|\tilde{\phi}_1\rangle = \langle\tilde{\psi}_1|\tilde{\psi}_1\rangle$. With this we conclude the problem solved for linearly independent sets of vectors.

Now if we assume the sets $\{\phi_i\}, \{\psi_i\}$ are linearly dependent, then we only have to show the first two vectors land in the right spots, because if $|\phi_2\rangle = \alpha|\phi_0\rangle + \beta|\phi_1\rangle$, then $U|\phi_2\rangle = \alpha|\psi_0\rangle + \beta|\psi_1\rangle = |\psi_2\rangle$ which is the only possibly option for $|\psi_2\rangle$ in order to preserve the inner products. The problem is trivial for all three vectors linearly dependent because a simple rotation will take a line to a line.